1 2 3 4	SEAN P. GATES (Cal Bar No. 186247) sean@illovskygates.com ILLOVSKY GATES & CALIA LLP 155 North Lake Ave., Suite 800 Pasadena, CA 91101 Telephone: (626) 508-1715			
5 6 7 8	EVA SCHUELLER (Cal Bar No. 237886) eschueller@illovskygates.com ILLOVSKY GATES & CALIA LLP 1611 Telegraph Ave., Ste. 806 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (415) 500-6640			
9 10 11	Attorneys for Defendants Blue River Seafood, Inc. Safe Coast Seafoods, LLC, and Safe Coast Seafoods Washington, LLC			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
115 116 117 118 118 119 220 221	BRAND LITTLE, and ROBIN BURNS, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  PACIFIC SEAFOOD PROCUREMENT, LLC; PACIFIC SEAFOOD PROCESSING, LLC; PACIFIC SEAFOOD FLEET, LLC; PACIFIC	Case No. 23-cv-01098-AGT  ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANTS BLUE RIVER SEAFOOD, INC., SAFE COAST SEAFOODS, LLC, AND SAFECOAST SEAFOODS WASHINGTON LLC  DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
22 23	SEAFOOD DISTRIBUTION, LLC; PACIFIC SEAFOOD USA, LLC; DULCICH, INC.; PACIFIC SEAFOOD EUREKA, LLC; PACIFIC SEAFOOD CHARLESTON, LLC;			
24 25 26	PACIFIC SEAFOOD – WARRENTON, LLC; PACIFIC SEAFOOD – NEWPORT, LLC; PACIFIC SEAFOOD – BROOKINGS, LLC, PACIFIC SEAFOOD – WESTPORT, LLC; PACIFIC SURIMI – NEWPORT, LLC; BLUE			
27   28	RIVER SEAFOOD, INC.; SAFE COAST SEAFOODS, LLC; SAFE COAST SEAFOODS WASHINGTON, LLC; OCEAN GOLD			

SEAFOODS, INC.; NOR-CAL SEAFOOD, INC.; AMERICAN SEAFOOD EXP, INC.; CALIFORNIA SHELLFISH COMPANY, INC.; ROBERT BUGATTO ENTERPRISES, INC.; ALASKA ICE SEAFOODS, INC.; LONG FISHERIES, INC.; CATIO FISHERIES, INC.; CATIO FISHERIES, LLC; SOUTHWIND FOODS, LLC; FISHERMEN'S CATCH, INC.; GLOBAL QUALITY FOODS, INC.; GLOBAL QUALITY SEAFOOD LLC; OCEAN KING FISH, INC.; BORNSTEIN SEAFOODS, INC.; ASTORIA PACIFIC SEAFOODS, LLC; DA YANG SEAFOOD INC.; and DOES 32-60,

Defendants.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Defendants Blue River Seafood, Inc., Safe Coast Seafoods, LLC, and Safe Coast Seafoods Washington, LLC (together "Blue River") answer Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint as follows. Unless expressly admitted, Blue River denies the allegations in each Paragraph. To the extent headings are deemed to be substantive allegations to which an answer is required, Blue River denies the allegations. To the extent footnotes, screenshots or other images, charts, graphs, or figures in the are deemed to be substantive allegations, then the response to the paragraph in which the footnote or figure is found is Blue River's response to the footnote, chart, graph or figure as well.

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. Blue River admits there currently are more than 1,000 independent commercial crabbers licensed to land Dungeness crab in California, coastal Washington, including Puget Sound, and Oregon. Blue River further admits that Plaintiff Brand Little is a crabber and that Plaintiff Robin Burns was married to a crabber, Kenny Burns. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 1 and accordingly denies them.
- 2. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 2 and accordingly denies them.
- 3. Blue River admits that the "ex vessel price" means the price off the boat. Blue River denies the other allegations in Paragraph 3.
  - 4. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 4.
  - 5. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 5.
  - 6. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 6.
  - 7. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 7.
  - 8. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 8 and each of its subparagraphs.
- 9. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 9 and accordingly denies them.
- 10. The allegations in Paragraph 10 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 10.
  - 11. To the extent an answer is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 11.

PARTIES

12. Blue River denies that it engaged in any "unfair and illegal anticompetitive activities." Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny other allegations in Paragraph 12 and on that basis denies them.

- 13. Blue River denies that it engaged in any "unfair and illegal anticompetitive activities." Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny other allegations in Paragraph 13 and on that basis denies them.
- 14. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 14 and accordingly denies them.
- 15. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 15 and accordingly denies them.
- 16. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 16 and accordingly denies them.
- 17. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 17 and accordingly denies them.
- 18. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 18 and accordingly denies them.
- 19. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 19 and accordingly denies them.
- 20. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 20 and accordingly denies them.
- 21. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 21 and accordingly denies them.
- 22. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 22 and accordingly denies them.
- 23. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 23 and accordingly denies them.

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 24. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 24 and accordingly denies them.
- 25. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 25 and accordingly denies them.
- 26. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 26 and accordingly denies them.
- 27. The allegations in Paragraph 27 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 27.
- 28. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 28 and accordingly denies them.
- 29. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 29 and accordingly denies them.
- 30. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 30 and accordingly denies them.
- 31. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 31 and accordingly denies them.
- 32. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 32 and accordingly denies them.
- 33. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 33 and accordingly denies them.
- 34. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 34 and accordingly denies them.
- 35. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 35 and accordingly denies them.
- 36. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 36 and accordingly denies them.
- 37. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 37 and accordingly denies them.

- 38. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 38 and accordingly denies them.
- 39. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 39 and accordingly denies them.
  - 40. Blue River admits the allegations in Paragraph 40.
  - 41. Blue River admits the allegations in Paragraph 41.
  - 42. Blue River admits the allegations in Paragraph 42.
  - 43. Blue River admits the allegations in Paragraph 43.
- 44. Blue River admits that Pucci Foods purchased out of receivership the assets of Jessie's Ilwaco Fish Co. Inc., Alber Seafoods, Inc., and Alber Enterprises, Inc., including the name "Jessie's Ilwaco Fish Company," in late 2020, and that it has done business under the names Safe Coast, Safe Coast Seafood, Safe Coast Seafood WA, Jessie's Ilwaco Fish, Pucci Foods, and Pucci. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 44.
- 45. Blue River admits that it has paid for ex vessel purchases with checks from Pucci Foods. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the other allegations in Paragraph 45 and accordingly denies them.
- 46. Blue River admits that Max Boland serves as a General Manager of Safe Coast, that Mr. Boland previously worked for Alber Seafood, and that Blue River acquired the assets of Alber Seafood out of receivership at the end of 2020. Blue River denies Alber Seafood is its predecessor in interest and denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 46.
- 47. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 47 and accordingly denies them.
- 48. Blue River admits it started purchasing crab through Safe Coast in Ilwaco, WA, in 2021. Blue River acks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 48 and accordingly denies them.
- 49. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 49 and accordingly denies them.

10

9

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

- 50. Blue River admits that it made ex vessel purchases of Dungeness crab in Crescent City and San Francisco, California through Safe Coast Seafood, LLC, starting in 2021. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 50 and accordingly denies them.
- 51. Blue River admits it made ex vessel purchases of Dungeness crab in Ilwaco, Nahcotta, Bay Center, Chinook, and Westport, Washington, and Newport and Astoria, Oregon through Safe Coast Seafood Washington, LLC, starting in 2021. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 51 and accordingly denies them.
- 52. The allegations in Paragraph 52 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.
- 53. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 53 and accordingly denies them.
- 54. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 54 and accordingly denies them.
- 55. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 55 and accordingly denies them.
- 56. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 56 and accordingly denies them.
- 57. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 57 and accordingly denies them.
- 58. The allegations in Paragraph 58 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.
- 59. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 59 and accordingly denies them.
- 60. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 60 and accordingly denies them.
- 61. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 61 and accordingly denies them.

74. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 74 and accordingly denies them.

24

25

26

27

28

in Paragraph 73 and accordingly denies them.

75. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 75 and accordingly denies them.

88. The allegations in Paragraph 88 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.

25

26

27

28

89. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 89 and accordingly denies them.

Answer to Third Amd. Compl.

Case No.: 23-cv-01098-AGT

Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations

102.

103.

in Paragraph and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 103 and accordingly denies them.

26

27

in Paragraph 117 and accordingly denies them.

required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 133.

- 134. The allegations in Paragraph 134 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 134.
  - 135. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 135.
  - 136. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 136.

# JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND COMMERCE

- 137. The allegations in Paragraph 137 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River admits that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction to the extent Plaintiffs have suffered an injury cognizable under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 138. The allegations in Paragraph 138 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River admits the Court has personal jurisdiction over it but denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 138, including that Plaintiffs suffered antitrust injury.
- 139. The allegations in Paragraph 139 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River admits that venue in this District is appropriate.
  - 140. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 140.
  - 141. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 141.

#### INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

142. The allegations in Paragraph 142 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River admits that assignment of this case to the San Francisco Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California is proper.

# **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

143. Blue River admits that Dungeness crab is a species of shellfish found in the Pacific Ocean and fished for human consumption. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 143.

- 144. Blue River admits that: Dungeness crab is enjoyed fresh by consumers along the West Coast, including during holidays, and that a portion of the catch is also exported live to Asian markets, particularly China, but also Korea, Vietnam and other countries. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 144.
- 145. Blue River admits commercial Dungeness crab fishing requires a permit, known in California as a "registration," which is tied to a specific vessel. Blue River further admits that the Dungeness crab fishery is what is known as a "derby" fishery because there are no quotas and crabbers are permitted to catch as many Dungeness crabs as they can during the season. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 145.
- 146. Blue River admits that the Dungeness crab season for most of the Pacific NW Areas has in the past opened on December 1, unless delayed. Pacific Seafood further admits that, unless delayed, the season for commercial crab fishing in California's District 10 has in the past started on November 15. Otherwise, Pacific Seafood denies the allegations in Paragraph 146.
- 147. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 147 and accordingly denies them.
  - 148. Blue River admits the allegations in Paragraph 148.
  - 149. Blue River admits the allegations in Paragraph 149.
- 150. Blue River admits that it and other buyers resell Dungeness crab either live, fresh cooked, in sections, frozen, or canned. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 150.
- 151. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 151 and accordingly denies them.
- 152. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 152 and accordingly denies them.
- 153. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 153 and accordingly denies them.
- 154. Blue River admits that Bornstein, Caito, Hallmark, Ocean Gold, Pacific Seafood, and Safe Coast are members of the West Coast Seafood Processors Association ("WCSPA") and that

28

1

same or different buyers to purchase their catches. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 168.

- 169. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 169 and accordingly denies them.
  - 170. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 170.
- 171. Blue River denies that it entered into any unlawful agreement with other ex vessel buyers. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the other allegations in Paragraph 171 and accordingly denies them.
- 172. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 172 and accordingly denies them.
- 173. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 173 and accordingly denies them.
- 174. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 174 and accordingly denies them.
- 175. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 175 and accordingly denies them.
- 176. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 176 and accordingly denies them.
  - 177. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 177.
  - 178. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 178.
  - 179. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 179.
  - 180. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 180.
  - 181. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 181.
  - 182. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 182.
  - 183. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 183.
- 184. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 184 and accordingly denies them.

1	185.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
2	in Paragraph 185 and accordingly denies them.	
3	186.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
4	in Paragraph	186 and accordingly denies them.
5	187.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
6	in Paragraph	187 and accordingly denies them.
7	188.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
8	in Paragraph	188 and accordingly denies them.
9	189.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 189.
10	190.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 190.
11	191.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 191.
12	192.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
13	in Paragraph 192 and accordingly denies them.	
14	193.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
15	in Paragraph	193 and accordingly denies them.
16	194.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
17	in Paragraph	194 and accordingly denies them.
18	195.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
19	in Paragraph	195 and accordingly denies them.
20	196.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
21	in Paragraph	196 and accordingly denies them.
22	197.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 197.
23	198.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
24	in Paragraph	198 and accordingly denies them.
25	199.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
26	in Paragraph 199 and accordingly denies them.	
27	200.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 200.
28		

- 201. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 201 and accordingly denies them.
- 202. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 202 and accordingly denies them.
- 203. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 203 and accordingly denies them.
  - 204. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 204.
  - 205. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 205.
- 206. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 206 and accordingly denies them.
- 207. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 207 and accordingly denies them.
- 208. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 208 and accordingly denies them.
- 209. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 209 and accordingly denies them.
- 210. Blue River denies it entered any agreement with other Defendants to refuse to offer an opening price. Otherwise, Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 210 and accordingly denies them.
- 211. Blue River denies it entered any agreement with other Defendants to refuse to offer an opening price. Otherwise, Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 211 and accordingly denies them.
- 212. Blue River admits that the California Department of Fish and Wildlife announced that the Dungeness crab season for California ports would open on December 31, 2022. Blue River denies it entered any agreement with other Defendants to refuse to offer an opening price. Otherwise, Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 212 and accordingly denies them.

Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations

26

27

28

225.

in Paragraph 224 and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 225 and accordingly denies them.

- 226. Blue River denies the existence of any price-fixing agreement with other Defendants. Otherwise, Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 226 and accordingly denies them.
  - 227. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 227.
- 228. Blue River denies the existence of any price-fixing agreement with other Defendants. Blue River admits that the quoted language (except for the bracketed material) is taken from a text from Mr. Boland, but otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 228.
- 229. Blue River denies the existence of any price-fixing agreement with other Defendants. Blue River admits that the quoted material is taken from a text from Mr. Boland, but otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 229.
- 230. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 230 and accordingly denies them.
- 231. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 231 and accordingly denies them.
- 232. Blue River admits that its representatives attended the "2023 Dungeness Crab Price Negotiations" organized by the Oregon Department of Agriculture at the Embarcadero Resort Hotel & Marina in Newport, Oregon, on December 10 and 11, 2023, and that representatives of sellers, buyers, and government officials were present. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 232.
- 233. Blue River admits that its representatives attended the "2023 Dungeness Crab Price Negotiations" organized by the Oregon Department of Agriculture at the Embarcadero Resort Hotel & Marina in Newport, Oregon, on December 10 and 11, 2023, and that representatives of sellers, buyers, and government officials were present. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 233.
- 234. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 234 and accordingly denies them.
- 235. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 235 and accordingly denies them.

Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations

27

28

265.

in Paragraph 265 and accordingly denies them.

Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 281.

27

28

281.

Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations

26

27

28

324.

in Paragraph 323 and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 324 and accordingly denies them.

1	325.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
2	in Paragraph 325 and accordingly denies them.		
3	326.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
4	in Paragraph 326 and accordingly denies them.		
5	327.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 327.	
6	328.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 328.	
7	329.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
8	in Paragraph	329 and accordingly denies them.	
9	330.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
10	in Paragraph 330 and accordingly denies them.		
11	331.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
12	in Paragraph 331 and accordingly denies them.		
13	332.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
14	in Paragraph 332 and accordingly denies them.		
15	333.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
16	in Paragraph	333 and accordingly denies them.	
17	334.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
18	in Paragraph	334 and accordingly denies them.	
19	335.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
20	in Paragraph	335 and accordingly denies them.	
21	336.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 336.	
22	337.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
23	in Paragraph	337 and accordingly denies them.	
24	338.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
25	in Paragraph 338 and accordingly denies them.		
26	339.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 339.	
27	340.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 340.	
28	341.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 341.	

28

in Paragraph 370 and accordingly denies them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Answer to Third Amd. Compl.

Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations

Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 432.

25

26

27

28

432.

433.

in Paragraph 431 and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 433 and accordingly denies them.

28

accordingly denies them.

denies that a class should be certified in this case.

467.

denies that a class should be certified in this case.

7

9

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

case. 468. The allegations in Paragraph 468 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 468 and

alleged putative classes the listed entities and persons but denies that a class should be certified in this

Blue River admits that the Second Amended Complaint purports to exclude from the

- 469. The allegations in Paragraph 469 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 469 and denies that a class should be certified in this case.
- 470. The allegations in Paragraph 470 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 470 and denies that a class should be certified in this case.
- 471. The allegations in Paragraph 471 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 471 and denies that a class should be certified in this case.
- 472. The allegations in Paragraph 472 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 472 and denies that a class should be certified in this case.
- 473. The allegations in Paragraph 473 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 473 and denies that a class should be certified in this case.
- 474. The allegations in Paragraph 474 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 474 and denies that a class should be certified in this case.
- 475. The allegations in Paragraph 475 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph and denies that a class should be certified in this case.
  - 476. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 476.

1	477.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 477.
2	478.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 478.
3	479.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 479.
4	480.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 480.
5	481.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 481.
6	482.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 482.
7		CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
8		FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
9	483.	Blue River incorporates its responses to each Paragraph above as if fully herein.
10	484.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 484.
11	485.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 485.
12	486.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 486.
13	487.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 487.
14	488.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 488.
15	489.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 489.
16	490.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 490.
17	491.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 491.
18	492.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 492.
19		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
20	493.	Blue River incorporates its response to each Paragraph above as if fully herein.
21	494.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 494.
22	495.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 495.
23	496.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 496.
24	497.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 497.
25	498.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 498.
26		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
27	499.	Blue River incorporates its responses to each Paragraph above as if fully herein.
28	500.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 500.

	Case 3:23-cv-01098-AGT Document 410 Filed 09/16/25 Page 38 of 42		
1	501. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 501.		
2	502. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 502.		
3	503. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 503.		
4	504. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 504.		
5	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
6	505. Blue River incorporates its responses to each Paragraph above as if fully herein.		
7	506. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 506.		
8	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
9	Blue River denies that plaintiffs are entitled certification of a class or any of the relief		
10	requested in this section or elsewhere in the Complaint.		
11			
12			
13	SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSES		
14	Without admitting the existence of any contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of		
15	trade, and without assuming any burden of proof that it would not otherwise bear, Blue River asserts		
16	the following separate and additional defenses:		
17	FIRST DEFENSE		
18	(Lack of Standing)		
19	Plaintiffs lack standing to assert their claims. Among other things, Plaintiffs have not		
20	sustained any injury, cognizable damage, or other harm as a result of conduct alleged in the Second		
21	Amended Complaint because, among other things, Plaintiffs did not sell Dungeness crab ex vessel to		
22	Blue River within the limitations period. Claims of putative class members also fail for lack of		
23	standing.		
24	SECOND DEFENSE		
25	(Lack of Antitrust Injury)		
26	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiffs suffered no antitrust injury		
27	(i.e., a type of injury that the antitrust laws were intended to remedy). Among other things, Plaintiffs		
28	have not sustained any injury, cognizable damage, or other harm as a result of conduct alleged in the		

Amended Complaint, or any alleged harm is too remote, because, among other things, Plaintiffs did not sell Dungeness crab ex vessel to Blue River within the limitations period, or to any ex vessel purchasers participating in the alleged conspiracy. In addition, Plaintiff Burns has never sold Dungeness crab ex vessel and does not have the legal right to assert claims that may have belonged to her deceased husband. Claims of putative class members also fail for lack of standing.

#### THIRD DEFENSE

# (State Action Doctrine)

Plaintiffs' and putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by immunity granted directly by state law or by the state action doctrine, *see Parker v. Brown*, 317 U.S. 341 (1943). Plaintiffs seek to impose antitrust liability on Blue River based on its participation in meetings that were actively supervised by state agencies pursuant to a clearly articulated and affirmatively expressed state legislative policy to displace competition and allow fishermen and buyers to bargain and negotiate prices collectively, even though such conduct is immunized by state and federal law.

#### FOURTH DEFENSE

# (First Amendment and Noerr-Pennington Doctrine)

The claims of the Plaintiffs and putative class members are barred, in whole or in part, insofar as they challenge the exercise of rights protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and by the *Noerr-Pennington* doctrine. Plaintiffs allege that Blue River can be liable for antitrust violations because of its and/or other Defendants' participation in meetings, including meetings with other buyers or government officials, which as alleged concerned petitioning or lobbying activities that are immunized by state and federal law.

#### FIFTH DEFENSE

# (Statute(s) of Limitations)

Plaintiffs' and putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute of limitations. Plaintiffs seek to recover damages from January 1, 2016 to the

present. However, Plaintiffs' claims are subject to a four-year statute of limitations, and those claims accrued at the time Plaintiffs were paid an ex vessel price that they claim was artificially suppressed as the result of an alleged conspiracy. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' claims based on ex vessel sales that occurred prior to March 13, 2019 – four years before the original Complaint was filed – are timebarred.

#### SIXTH DEFENSE

# (Laches/Waiver/Estoppel)

Plaintiffs' and putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of laches, waiver, and/or estoppel. Plaintiffs delayed filing this lawsuit for an unreasonable and inexcusable length of time from the time Plaintiffs knew or reasonably should have known of their claims against Blue River. Plaintiffs failed to exercise diligence to discover their alleged claims, or Plaintiffs had either actual or constructive knowledge of the facts they contend give rise to their alleged claims but failed to assert those claims within a reasonable time. Plaintiffs were aware of the claims they allege and intended to relinquish them. Blue River has suffered prejudice in its ability to defend this case due to Plaintiffs' failure to assert their alleged claims within a reasonable time. Plaintiffs are now estopped from asserting their claims now because of such delay and waiver.

#### SEVENTH DEFENSE

# (Unclean Hands)

Plaintiffs' and putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands, to the extent that Plaintiffs and putative class members have engaged in fraud or willful misconduct related to the subject matter of their claims or were significantly involved in illegal conduct, including illegal collective price-bargaining, price-fixing or other violations of the antitrust or unfair competition laws.

#### **EIGHTH DEFENSE**

# (Lack of Damages/Mitigation)

Antitrust plaintiffs have a duty to mitigate their damages. Plaintiffs and putative class members have no damages or have failed to mitigate damages, if any. Plaintiffs' and putative class members' alleged damages, if any, were not caused by Blue River.

# NINTH DEFENSE

### (Due Process)

The class action claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that they seek to deprive Blue River of procedural and substantive safeguards, including, but not limited to, traditional defenses to liability, or duplicative recovery of alleged overcharges, in violation of the due process clause of the United States Constitution and analogous provisions of the California Constitution. This includes that, to the extent Plaintiffs and the proposed class seek relief on behalf of purported class members who have not suffered any injury or damages, the Second Amended Complaint and each of its claims for relief therein violate Blue River's rights to due process under the United States Constitution.

#### TENTH DEFENSE

(Acquiescence)

# 

Plaintiffs' and putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the Plaintiffs' knowing acquiescence to the restraints of trade alleged in the Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs' claims are based on the allegation that Defendants delayed in offering a season opening price. Among other things, Plaintiffs and putative class members acquiesced in that alleged conduct, and their claims are barred, because Plaintiffs chose to remain in port, rather than exercise the option to fish on open ticket.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Blue River prays for relief as follows:

1. That the Court award Blue River judgment in its favor on all of Plaintiffs' claims and dismiss this action with prejudice.

2. That the Court award Blue River all other and further relief deemed just and reasonable.

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Blue River demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Dated: September 16, 2025

ILLOVSKY GATES & CALIA LLP
SEAN GATES
EVA SCHUELLER

<u>/s/ Sean P. Gates</u> Sean P. Gates

Attorneys for Defendants Blue River Seafood, Inc. Safe Coast Seafoods, LLC, and Safe Coast Seafoods Washington, LLC